

## Typical fire sizes adopted in various countries



A Canadian paper published in 2016 had found the following data:

Vehicles	PHRR (MW)		
Passenger car	5-10		
Multiple passenger cars (2–4 vehicles)	10-20		
Bus	20-30		
Heavy goods truck	70-200		
Tanker	200-300		

## Fire data for typical vehicles

Source: Ahmed Kashef, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

Article "Ventilation Strategies – an Integral Part of Fire Protection Systems in Modern Tunnels" in the Proceedings Report of the "Seventh International Symposium on Tunnel Safety and Security, Montreal, Canada, March 16-18, 2016"



A Canadian paper published in 2016 had found the following data:

Country	PHRR (MW)	Notes		
Australia	50	With FFFS (deluge system), for ventilation only		
Austria	30	High risk category: 50 MW		
Franco	30 - 200	200 MW when transport of dangerous goods allowed but		
Trance		only applied for longitudinal ventilation		
Germany	30 - 100	Depending on length and HGV in tunnel		
Greece	100	Longitudinal ventilation		
Italy	20 - 200			
Japan	30			
Nothenlands	100-200	100 MW if tankers are not allowed, otherwise 200 MW		
memerianus		for ventilation system		
Norway	20 - 100	Depending on risk class, always longitudinal ventilation		
Portugal	10-100	Based on traffic type		
Russia	50-100			
Singapore	30-200	Depends on vehicle types allowed		
Spain	>Or =30			
Sweden	100	Longitudinal ventilation		
Switzerland	30	Smoke extraction equals 3.3-4 m/s times cross section		
UK	30 - 100			
USA	30 - 300	300 MW if dangerous goods allowed		

## Fire sizes adopted in different countries

Source: Ahmed Kashef, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

Article "Ventilation Strategies – an Integral Part of Fire Protection Systems in Modern Tunnels" in the Proceedings Report of the "Seventh International Symposium on Tunnel Safety and Security, Montreal, Canada, March 16-18, 2016"



The same paper gave an order of magnitude for the smoke characteristics for a fire tunnel (i.e. a different geometry will give different results, however as can be seen, the fire size is the deterring factor.)

## Smoke layer characteristics in a hypotheticall tunnel

Fire Size (MW)	3	10	20	50	100
m <sup>s</sup> (kg/s)	17	24	35	48	95
<i>u<sub>so</sub></i> (m/s)	1.3	2.2	3.0	5.3	6.7
$d_{so}(\mathbf{m})$	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.7	2.7

Legend:

 $m_s$  = smoke production rate, kg/s

u<sub>so</sub> = initial smoke layer moving velocity, m/s

d<sub>so</sub> = initial smoke layer thickness, m

In order to around back layering the minimum smoke velocity should be higher than the initial smoke layer velocity.

**Source:** Ahmed Kashef, National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada.

Article "Ventilation Strategies – an Integral Part of Fire Protection Systems in Modern Tunnels" in the Proceedings Report of the "Seventh International Symposium on Tunnel Safety and Security, Montreal, Canada, March 16-18, 2016"